## FCDJFS RFP Proposal External Evaluation & Notes Form Youth Afterschool Programs September 2013 – May 2014

Type of Afterschool Proposal: Elementary $\Box$ I	Middle School U High School U	
Proposal Reviewed:		
Reviewed By (please print):		
Reviewer's Signature:		Total External Score
Review Committee Date:		External Score
Agency History and Experience		
Agency History and Experience	Reviewer's Notes:	0 – 15 points

Agency history and Experience- continued	
Agency History and Experience- continued	Reviewer's Notes:
Describe how the agency's accounting	
procedures will ensure compliance with federal and state:	
o Standards	
o Principles	
<ul> <li>Regulations</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>How will their accounting procedures protect</li> </ul>	
FCDJFS from fraud and abuse	
The organizations history, experience, outcomes	
and accounting procedures demonstrate the organization's ability to meet the requirements of	
the RFP and support their proposed youth	
afterschool program	
o High	
■ 13 – 15 points	
o Moderate	
■ 6 – 10 points  o Low	
■ 0 – 5 points	
o o pomio	
7	otal Points- Agency History and Experience
	(15 possible points)
Additional Reviewer's Notes:	

## **General Requirements**

General Requirements	Deviewed Notes	0 40
General Requirements	Reviewer's Notes:	0 – 10 points
The outlined program must support the general  The outlined program must support the general		
requirements of the RFP		
Cultural and linguistic services		
<ul> <li>Proposal demonstrates the organizations ability</li> </ul>		
to provide culturally and linguistically		
appropriate services		
Age group (elementary, middle or high school)		
<ul> <li>Identified target age group</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Programs may serve multiple age</li> </ul>		
groups, however they must submit		
separate proposals per age group		
Program areas		
<ul> <li>Two program areas outlined, with one being</li> </ul>		
academic enrichment and achievement		
Nutritional snack or dinner		
<ul> <li>Provides a nutritional snack or dinner during</li> </ul>		
program		
<ul> <li>Use of feeding program preferred</li> </ul>		
Operational requirements		
At least 30 weeks of programming		
Elementary programs		
<ul> <li>Minimum of 10 hours per week</li> </ul>		
Middle school programs		
<ul> <li>Minimum of 7 hours per week</li> </ul>		
High school programs		
o Minimum of 4 hours per week		
Percentage of time (per week)		
<ul> <li>75% of the program hours occur</li> </ul>		
Monday through Friday		
<ul> <li>Only 25% of the program hours may</li> </ul>		
occur over the weekend period		
Staffing Ratio		
FCDJFS follows the rules established by		
ODJFS as related to staff-to-youth ratios		
Ratio for school age youth kindergarten to 11		
years of age		
o 1:18, 1 staff member per every 18		
youth		
<ul> <li>Ratio for school age youth 11 to 14 years of</li> </ul>		
age		
o 1:20, 1 staff member to every 20 youth		
There must be at least one additional program		
staff on the premises who can be utilized to		
maintain staff ratios		
No youth should be left unsupervised at any		
time		
	I	

## Additional Reviewer's Notes:

General Requirements- continued		
General Requirements (continued)	Reviewer's Notes:	
<ul> <li>The outlined program meet the general</li> </ul>		
requirements of the RFP		
<ul> <li>All or the majority of the outlined program meets the general</li> </ul>		
requirements of the RFP, no major		
changes needed		
<ul> <li>8 – 10 points</li> <li>Some of the of the outlined program</li> </ul>		
meets the general requirements of the		
RFP, additional information or changes		
needed ■ 5 – 7 points		
<ul> <li>The majority of the of the outlined</li> </ul>		
program does not meet the general requirements of the RFP, major		
information or changes needed		
■ 0 – 4 point		
	Total Points- General Requirements	
	(10 possible points)	
Additional Reviewer's Notes:		

Programming		
	Reviewer's Notes:	0 75 noints
Programming- Model, Activities, Outcomes and, Goals Evaluation Tool, and Partners and	Reviewer's Notes.	0 – 75 points
Subcontractors (if applicable)		
Model		
The described program model will successfully		
serve the target population		
<ul> <li>Model is appropriate and realistic for the target</li> </ul>		
population		
<u>Activities</u>		
<ul> <li>The described activities are appropriate for the targeted population</li> </ul>		
Activities are in line with the program model		
Proposal was able to substantiate how		
described activities will help achieve the		
outlined program outcomes and goals		
Outcomes and goals		
<ul> <li>Proposal clearly identifies the outcomes and</li> </ul>		
goals of the program		
<ul> <li>Outcomes and goals are in line with targeted</li> </ul>		
program areas		
Outcomes and goals seem achievable by the		
<ul><li>outlined program model and activities</li><li>Outcomes and goals are at an appropriate level</li></ul>		
(not too high, not too low) for the targeted		
population		
Evaluation tool		
Evaluation tool(s) identified and described (or		
provided)		
<ul> <li>Evaluation tool(s) is effective in measuring</li> </ul>		
program outcomes and goals		
<ul> <li>Evaluation tool(s) is able to monitor, track and</li> </ul>		
measure outcomes		
<ul> <li>Evaluation tool(s) is able to demonstrate a</li> </ul>		
participant's success in mastering program		
goals through the youth's participation in		
program activities		
<ul> <li>Evaluation tool(s) is appropriate for the targeted</li> </ul>		
<ul><li>population</li><li>Evaluation tool measures progress at an</li></ul>		
appropriate level (does not evaluate the		
progress at a rate that is too high or too low)		
Partners and subcontractors (if applicable)		
Identified partners and subcontractors are		
appropriate to render the services they are		
outlined to provide		

Subcontracted services or program partners demonstrate the ability to effectively support the model, activities, and goals and outcomes of the program	
Additional Reviewer's Notes:	
Programming- continued	
Programming- Model, Activities, Outcomes and Goals Evaluation Tool, and Partners and Subcontractors (if applicable)  • The described program model, activities, outcomes and goals, evaluation tool, and partners and subcontractors (if applicable) demonstrate the agency's ability to	Reviewer's Notes:

## Programming- Model, Activities, Outcomes and Goals Evaluation Tool, and Partners and Subcontractors (if applicable) • The described program model , activities, outcomes and goals, evaluation tool, and partners and subcontractors (if applicable) demonstrate the agency's ability to provide high quality programming for their outlined target population • High- the described program components demonstrate the agency's ability to provide high quality programming for the targeted population • 60 – 75 points • Moderate- the described program components demonstrate the agency will provide programming at a moderate level for the targeted population, additional information or changes needed • 50 – 59 points • Low- the described program components

demonstrate the agency will provide programming at a low level for the targete population, major information or changes needed  • 0 – 49 points	d	
	Total Points- Programming	3
	(75 possible points)	
Additional Reviewer's Notes:		
		<del> </del>
Marketing, Enrollment and Reporting		
Marketing Emoliment and Reporting	Reviewer's Notes:	0 –5 points
<ul> <li>Each agency is responsible for marketing their</li> </ul>		

Marketing	Reviewer's Notes:	0 –5 points
<ul> <li>Each agency is responsible for marketing their program, participant recruitment and enrollment</li> <li>A brochure or planned promotional material must be attached</li> <li>The bidder has identified a marketing strategy to effectively recruit participants         <ul> <li>High</li> <li>4 – 5 points</li> <li>Moderate</li> <li>2 – 3 points</li> <li>Low</li> <li>0 – 1 point</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		•
Enrollment	Reviewer's Notes:	0 –10 points

<ul> <li>Program enrollments and the collection of</li> </ul>		ļ
verification documentation is the responsibility		
of the agency		
Agency will be responsible for collecting		
<ul> <li>Social security numbers</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Lawful resident status documentation</li> </ul>		
(if applicable)		
<ul> <li>Eligibility verification which include</li> </ul>		
documentation of one of the following:		
Earned income		
<ul> <li>Earned income</li> <li>Unearned income</li> </ul>		
FCDJFS benefits		
Free and reduced lunch		
Agency must provide the date they plan to start		
enrolling participants into the program		
The program's enrollment process and program		
orientation supports the agency's ability to		
successfully enroll youth participants and collect		
all needed documentation		
o High		
■ 8 – 10 points		
<ul> <li>Moderate</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>4 − 7 points</li> </ul>		
o Low		
■ 0 – 3 points		
Reporting	Reviewer's Notes:	0 -10 points
Agency must provide established mechanisms to		•
maintain and deliver accurate and timely reporting		
of eligibility verification, documentation of program		
activity and attendance		
High		
■ 8 – 10 points		
o Moderate		
■ 4 – 7 points		
o Low		
■ 0 – 3 points		
- 0 – 3 points		
Total	Points Marketing Envellment and Penerting	
I Otal F	Points- Marketing, Enrollment and Reporting	
	(25 possible points)	

**Human Resource Components** 

Staffing, Hiring Plan and Training	Reviewer's Notes:	0 – 20 points
------------------------------------	-------------------	---------------

<ul> <li>Key staff working within the program have been identified (if hired)         <ul> <li>Names and job titles listed</li> </ul> </li> <li>Roles and responsibilities of staff listed</li> <li>Management structure of the program provided</li> <li>Supervision of participants explained</li> <li>Job descriptions for all afterschool program positions included</li> <li>Resumes for current afterschool employees submitted</li> <li>The staffing pattern meet the staff to participant ratio required by the RFP</li> <li>Hiring plan</li> <li>Outline of the plan to hire staff</li> <li>Timeline for hiring staff provided</li> <li>Training</li> <li>Supplied a timeline for training staff</li> <li>Detailed summary of training for afterschool staff provided</li> <li>Described human resource components: staffing, hiring plan and training meet the standards of the RFP         <ul> <li>High</li> <li>13 – 15 points</li> <li>Moderate</li> <li>6 – 10 points</li> <li>Low</li> <li>0 – 5 points</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
	Total Points- Human Resource Components
	(20 possible points)
Additional Reviewer's Notes:	

**Budget Document** 

Budget document	Reviewer's Notes:	0 – 5 points
<ul> <li>Each agency is required to submit the FCDJFS</li> </ul>		
budget document for their program		
<ul> <li>Costs appear reasonable for the services being provided</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Contains detailed explanations and/or</li> </ul>		
justifications for all costs		
<ul> <li>Costs demonstrate a link between proposed</li> </ul>		
program's activities and outcomes and goals		
o High		
■ 4 – 5 points  o Moderate		
■ 2 – 3 points		
o Low		
■ 0 – 1 point		
	Budget Documents Points	
	(5 possible points)	
Additional Reviewer's Notes:		
Additional Neviewer's Notes.		